

# A Refutation of the Existence of the Other January Effect

Stephen A. Easton  
School of Business and Management  
University of Newcastle

Sean M. Pinder<sup>†</sup>  
Department of Finance  
University of Melbourne

## Abstract

Cooper, McConnell and Ovtchinnikov (2006) report US evidence of the “other January effect”, where returns in January are shown to have predictive power for returns over the subsequent 11 months. We re-examine the latest sub-period that they examine and find that the results using excess returns are not unique to January and that the effect for January is not apparent for raw returns. Further, using excess (raw) return data for 38 (44) other countries, limited support is found for the other January effect, with eight (five) of the remaining 11 months demonstrating a statistically significant effect in at least as many countries as exhibited the “other January effect”. Further, there is no evidence to suggest that different tax-year ends across countries can explain the result.<sup>‡</sup>

**JEL Classification: G10; G14**

**Key Words: Other January Effect, International Evidence, Asset Pricing**

This is a draft version only and is not to be quoted without the explicit permission of the authors

---

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author: Address: Department of Finance, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 3010.  
Telephone: 61 3 8344 5101  
Email: spinder@unimelb.edu.au

<sup>‡</sup> **Acknowledgements:** We thank Bruce Grundy, Richard Heaney and seminar participants at the University of Melbourne for helpful comments, and acknowledge the financial support provided by a Melbourne Centre for Financial Studies research grant.

# **A Refutation of the Existence of the Other January Effect**

## **Abstract**

Cooper, McConnell and Ovtchinnikov (2006) report US evidence of the “other January effect”, where returns in January are shown to have predictive power for returns over the subsequent 11 months. We re-examine the latest sub-period that they examine and find that the results using excess returns are not unique to January and that the effect for January is not apparent for raw returns. Further, using excess (raw) return data for 38 (44) other countries, limited support is found for the other January effect, with eight (five) of the remaining 11 months demonstrating a statistically significant effect in at least as many countries as exhibited the “other January effect”. Further, there is no evidence to suggest that different tax-year ends across countries can explain the result.

**JEL Classification: G10; G14**

**Key Words: Other January Effect, International Evidence, Asset Pricing**

This is a draft version only and is not to be quoted without the explicit permission of the authors

## 1.0 Introduction

Cooper, McConnell and Ovtchinnikov (2006) report US evidence of the so-called “other January effect”, where returns in January are shown to have predictive power for returns over the subsequent 11 months. Specifically, they found that over the period 1940 to 2003, when the value-weighted market return in January is positive, the average return over the subsequent 11 months is 14.82%, whilst the 11-month average return is only 2.92% when the January return is negative. This statistically significant difference in returns increases when an equally-weighted index is substituted for a value-weighted index and where the analysis is conducted using excess returns rather than raw returns.

Cooper *et al* assert that their findings have three implications. They state (2006, p. 338) that: “First, (the other January effect) appears to be a powerful tool in predicting the market and other portfolios and, thus it should prove to be an important tool to portfolio managers or other managers engaged in hedging market or size premium risk. In a related manner, this suggests that incorporating the Other January Effect into asset pricing benchmarks would be reasonable from the perspective of evaluating portfolio managers’ performance. Finally, our results could serve to heighten the debate over the source of the risk premiums in the Fama-French three-factor model.”

Given the absence of theoretical support for the existence of such an affect, its usage as suggested by Cooper *et al* would be strengthened by its existence across sub-periods and across different markets. As noted, for example, by Schwert (2003), before an affect such as that reported by Cooper *et al* can be interpreted as evidence indicative of the predictability of stock returns, it needs to be tested across independent samples.

We re-examine the results of Cooper *et al* over the most recent sub-period that they examined. Cooper *et al* report a significant effect for the sub-period 1973 to 2003 using excess returns but do

not report the sub-period results using raw returns. Given that the motivation for the paper is provided by quotations of “financial market street-lore” (p. 318), quotations that discuss index returns, it might have been expected that the emphasis of the analysis would have been on raw returns rather than excess returns. Further, Cooper *et al* did not examine using sub-period analysis whether the apparent effect was unique to January. We find that the results using excess returns are not unique to January and that the effect for January is not apparent when raw returns are used.

International evidence such as that provided by Brown, Keim, Kleidon and Marsh (1983), Berges, McConnell and Schlarbaum (1984), Gultekin and Gultekin (1983) and Reinganum and Shapiro (1987) with respect to the January effect, and Rouwenhorst (1998) and Griffin, Ji and Martin (2003) with respect to momentum strategies, might also be used to support the implications for asset pricing drawn by Cooper *et al*. However, using data for 38 other countries very limited support is found for the other January effect outside of the US market.

The analysis of US returns over the period 1973 to 2003, and the absence of supporting international evidence, casts considerable doubt as to the existence of the so-called “other January effect”.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 provides a discussion of the collection and the results are presented in Section 3. Section 4 provides a summary.

## **2.0 Data**

To replicate the Cooper *et al* analysis, US share market returns were obtained from CRSP. Value-weighted total return indices in local currencies for 44 other countries were obtained from the Datastream and Compustat Global databases. Where indices were available from both databases, the index covering the longest time period was used.

The estimation of monthly excess returns also required proxies for the one-month risk-free rate of return. Given the heterogeneous nature of the debt markets of the constituent countries, the nature of the data varied across the sample. Interest rates were obtained from the Datastream database and the *IFS Online* database maintained by the International Monetary Fund. Where possible, the rate of return offered on a short-term government security (that is, less than or equal to half a year) was used as the interest rate proxy. Where yields on government securities were not available, short-term money market rates were used.<sup>1</sup> To be included in the analysis, data for a country were required for a minimum of a ten-year period.

### **3.0 Results**

Table 1 provides an analysis of excess market returns in the subsequent 11 months following positive and negative excess returns in January across 39 countries. The findings for the US market are very similar to those reported by Cooper *et al*, both for the period 1940 to 2003 and the sub-period 1973 to 2003, with the difference between 11-month holding period returns following positive Januarys being significantly greater than the 11-month holding period returns following negative Januarys.

However, across the other 38 countries, the spread is only significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level for Italy, Norway, Thailand and Zimbabwe. While finding a difference in returns in five of the 39 countries might at first view be greater than is to be expected by chance, for a finding in support of an international “other January effect” to be sustained, the difference in returns for January would need to be greater than the difference in returns for any other month.

---

<sup>1</sup> Extensive sensitivity analysis was performed where multiple proxies for the one-month risk-free rate of return were available. The results were not sensitive.

Table 2 provides an analysis of excess market returns in the subsequent 11 months following positive and negative excess returns in any of the 12 months.<sup>2</sup> Across international markets any affect is more apparent for the months of April, June and October than for January. For 17 of the 39 countries examined, the difference in excess returns in the 11 months following positive excess returns in April compared with those following negative excess returns in April are significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level. This includes the US market where the CRSP value-weighted index is used as the measure of market returns over the 1973 to 2003 sub-period. Furthermore, eight of the remaining 11 months demonstrate a statistically significant effect in at least as many countries as exhibit the “other January effect”.

However, it may be possible to explain the findings of Cooper *et al* in terms of characteristics that are specific to the US market, or all least not able to be generalized to other markets. One such explanation is the different tax-year ends across countries. The positive returns in January (and in subsequent months) might be due to a combination of tax-loss selling at tax year-end and momentum effects. To examine this possible explanation, Table 3 provides for those countries with tax-year starts other than January an analysis of excess market returns in the subsequent eleven months following positive and negative excess returns in the first month of the tax year. In only two of those seven countries (New Zealand and the United Kingdom) was the difference in excess returns in the eleven months following positive excess returns in the first month of the tax year significantly greater than in the eleven months following negative excess returns in the first month of the tax year. It may also be noted that a tax-loss selling explanation cannot be used to explain the findings for the months of June and October in Table 2, and may only explain the findings for the month of April for New Zealand and the United Kingdom.

---

<sup>2</sup> The methodology used here follows that of Cooper *et al*. However, as shown by Richardson and Stock (1989) amongst others, care should be taken when drawing inferences from multi-year returns.

Table 4 provides an analysis of market returns in the subsequent 11 months following positive and negative raw returns in January. Again, the findings over the period 1940 to 2003 are very similar to those reported by Cooper *et al.* However, Cooper *et al.* do not report results using raw returns for the 1973 to 2003 sub-period. As shown in Table 4 there is no evidence of an effect using either a value-weighted or equally-weighted index.

With respect to the other 44 countries in the sample, the spread is positive and significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level for Chile, Finland, Italy, Nigeria, Norway, the Philippines, Thailand, the United Kingdom, and Zimbabwe. However, just as for the analysis using excess returns, for a finding in support of an international “other January effect” to be sustained, the difference in returns for January would need to be greater than the difference in returns for any other month.

Table 5 provides an analysis of market returns in the subsequent 11 months following positive and negative raw returns in any of the 12 months. Across international markets the effect is also more apparent for April. For 17 of the 45 countries examined, the difference in returns in the 11 months following positive returns in April compared with those following negative returns in April are significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level. Furthermore, in the US market for the 1973 to 2003 sub-period where no result for January was found, we find a statistically significant result for April when the value-weighted index was used to measure returns. The lack of international evidence in support of the other January effect may be noted by observing that five of the remaining 11 months demonstrated a statistically significant effect in at least as many countries as exhibited the “other January effect”.<sup>3</sup>

#### **4.0 Summary**

We re-examine the results of Cooper *et al* over the most recent sub-period that they examined. Cooper *et al* report a significant effect for the sub-period 1973 to 2003 using excess returns but do not report the sub-period results using raw returns. Further, Cooper *et al* did not examine using sub-period analysis whether the apparent effect was unique to January. We find that the results using excess returns are not unique to January and that the effect for January is not apparent when raw returns are used.

Further, using excess (raw) return data for 38 (44) other countries, very limited support is found for the other January effect, with eight (five) of the remaining 11 months demonstrating a statistically significant effect in at least as many countries as exhibited the “other January effect”. Further, there is no evidence to suggest that different tax-year ends across countries can explain the result.

The analysis of US returns over the period 1973 to 2003, and the absence of supporting international evidence, casts considerable doubt as to the existence of the so-called “other January effect”.

---

<sup>3</sup> Tax-loss selling is also unable to explain the results reported in Table 5. For the seven countries with tax-year starts other than January, in only the United Kingdom was the difference in returns in the 11 months following positive returns in the first month of the tax year significantly greater than in the 11 months following negative returns in the first month of the tax year.



## References

Brown, P., Keim, D.B., Kleidon, A.W., Marsh, T.A., 1983. Stock return seasonalities and the tax-loss selling hypothesis. *Journal of Financial Economics* 12, 105-127.

Berges, A., McConnell, J.J., Schlarbaum, G.G., 1984. The turn-of-the-year in Canada. *Journal of Finance* 39, 185-192.

Cooper, M.J., McConnell, J.J., Ovtchinnikov, A.V., 2006. The other January effect. *Journal of Financial Economics* 82, 315-341.

Fama, E., French, K., 1993. Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics* 33, 3-56.

Griffin, J.M., Ji, X. Martin, S., 2003. Momentum investing and business cycle risk: evidence from pole to pole, *Journal of Finance* 58, 2515-2547.

Gultekin, M.N. Gultekin, N., 1983. Stock return seasonality: international evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics* 12, 469-481.

Reinganum, M.R., Shapiro, A.C., 1987. Taxes and stock return seasonality: evidence from the London stock exchange. *Journal of Business* 60, 281-295.

Richardson, M., Stock, J.H., 1989. Drawing inferences from statistics based on multiyear asset returns. *Journal of Financial Economics* 25, 323-348.

Rouwenhorst, K.G., 1998. International momentum strategies. *Journal of Finance* 53, 267-284.

Schwert, G.W., 2003. Anomalies and market efficiency. In: Constantinides, G., Harris, M. Stulz, R.M., (Eds), Handbook of the Economics of Finance. North-Holland, Amsterdam, pp. 937-972.

Table 1:

11-month holding period excess returns following Januarys with positive and negative excess returns. Total market returns and the T-Bill rate for the US were obtained from the CRSP database. Total market returns for countries other than the US were obtained from both the Datastream and Compustat Global databases, while proxies for the risk-free interest rate were obtained from the *IFS Online* database maintained by the International Monetary Fund. Where more than one total market return proxy was available, the database with the longest history was used, and sensitivity analysis was performed over the common years to ensure that results were not sensitive to the choice made. Interest rates from short-term government securities were selected where possible, and where these were not available, yields from other government securities or alternatively, from the short-term money market, were used. P-values are for the two-tailed t-test of the null hypothesis that the mean difference between the 11-month holding period excess returns following positive and negative excess returns in January is equal to zero. P-values less than 5% are denoted in bold.

Country	Start Year	Sample N	Average 11-month excess return following a positive January	Sub-sample N	Average 11-month excess return following a negative January	Sub-sample N	Difference	P-value
Australia	1974	32	0.0488	19	0.0513	13	-0.0025	0.963
Austria	1974	25	0.1430	10	-0.0419	15	0.1850	0.223
Belgium	1974	32	0.0463	20	0.0151	12	0.0312	0.576
Canada	1974	32	0.0223	18	0.0484	14	-0.0261	0.561
Chile	1994	12	0.0546	4	0.1174	8	-0.0628	0.433
Columbia	1993	13	0.0482	9	-0.1104	4	0.1586	0.251
Czech Republic	1994	12	0.0595	10	-0.1653	2	0.2247	0.086
Denmark	1974	32	0.0562	21	-0.0295	11	0.0857	0.252
Finland	1989	17	0.1682	12	-0.0934	5	0.2616	0.054
France	1974	30	0.0231	19	0.0880	11	-0.0649	0.406
Germany	1974	32	0.0727	20	0.0005	12	0.0722	0.236
Greece	1986	15	0.1390	9	0.1071	6	0.0319	0.832
Hong Kong	1986	20	0.1735	10	0.0874	10	0.0861	0.423
Hungary	1992	14	0.0098	9	0.0625	5	-0.0528	0.647
Indonesia	1991	15	-0.0356	10	-0.1404	5	0.1049	0.466
Ireland	1979	27	0.0240	20	0.1362	7	-0.1121	0.167
Italy	1974	32	0.0411	23	-0.1227	9	0.1638	<b>0.028</b>
Japan	1974	32	0.0395	19	0.0198	13	0.0197	0.734
Korea	1977	26	0.0477	16	-0.1055	10	0.1532	0.136
Malaysia	1987	19	0.0360	15	0.1152	4	-0.0792	0.353
Mexico	1978	24	0.2203	13	0.0251	11	0.1952	0.311
Netherlands	1979	27	0.1053	18	0.0229	9	0.0824	0.104
New Zealand	1989	17	0.0551	11	-0.0107	6	0.0659	0.32
Nigeria	1992	11	0.3090	7	0.0333	4	0.2757	0.091
Norway	1981	25	0.1491	15	-0.0885	10	0.2376	<b>0.004</b>
Pakistan	1985	18	0.1483	11	0.0378	7	0.1106	0.434
Philippines	1985	18	0.1797	12	-0.1243	6	0.3040	0.119
Poland	1995	11	-0.0407	6	0.0111	5	-0.0517	0.623
Singapore	1974	32	0.0219	24	0.0241	8	-0.0023	0.974
South Africa	1974	32	0.0342	17	0.1738	15	-0.1395	0.052
Spain	1988	18	0.0779	13	-0.0424	5	0.1203	0.084
Sri Lanka	1993	10	-0.1832	3	0.0202	7	-0.2034	0.137
Switzerland	1974	32	0.0825	21	0.0099	11	0.0726	0.207
Taiwan	1985	18	-0.0140	11	0.1910	7	-0.2051	0.261
Thailand	1977	26	0.1536	15	-0.1599	11	0.3136	<b>0.013</b>
United Kingdom	1974	32	0.0771	22	-0.0327	10	0.1098	0.064
U.S. - CRSP - (value-weighted)	1940	64	0.1179	40	-0.0262	24	0.1441	<b>0.000</b>
U.S. - CRSP - (value-weighted)	1973	31	0.0864	18	-0.0370	13	0.1233	<b>0.048</b>
U.S. - CRSP - (equally-weighted)	1940	64	0.1460	50	-0.0477	14	0.1937	<b>0.001</b>
U.S. - CRSP - (equally-weighted)	1973	31	0.1179	25	-0.0224	6	0.1404	0.215
Venezuela	1995	11	-0.1318	2	0.2322	9	-0.3640	0.246
Zimbabwe	1979	24	0.2800	17	-0.1522	7	0.4322	<b>0.006</b>

Table 2:

11-month holding period excess returns following months with positive and negative excess returns. Total market returns and the T-Bill rate for the US were obtained from the CRSP database. Total market returns for countries other than the US were obtained from both the Datastream and Compustat Global databases, while proxies for the risk free-interest rate were obtained from the *IFS Online* database maintained by the International Monetary Fund. Where more than one total market return proxy was available, the database with the longest history was used, and sensitivity analysis was performed over the common years to ensure that results were not sensitive to the choice made. Interest rates from short-term government securities were selected where possible, and where these were not available, yields from other government securities or alternatively, from the short-term money market, were used instead. P-values reported in the second row for each country are for the two-tailed t-test of the null hypothesis that the mean difference between the 11-month holding period returns following positive and negative conditioning months is equal to zero. P-values less than 5% are denoted in bold.

Country	Start Year	Sample N	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
Australia	1974	32	-0.0025	0.1063	0.0312	0.1218	-0.0072	-0.0458	-0.0482	0.0560	0.0654	-0.0283	0.0276	0.0663
			0.963	0.078	0.611	<b>0.015</b>	0.869	0.297	0.389	0.366	0.329	0.688	0.642	0.230
Austria	1974	25	0.1850	0.1446	0.2198	0.0151	0.1702	0.1205	0.2314	0.0456	0.2487	0.1537	-0.0692	0.0518
			0.223	0.154	<b>0.045</b>	0.863	0.060	0.256	0.100	0.641	0.057	0.346	0.456	0.572
Belgium	1974	32	0.0312	0.0985	-0.0473	0.0245	0.0387	0.0162	0.0462	0.1277	0.0758	-0.0204	0.0625	0.0063
			0.576	0.061	0.408	0.620	0.518	0.767	0.387	<b>0.022</b>	0.221	0.748	0.252	0.910
Canada	1974	32	-0.0261	0.0094	-0.0347	0.1088	-0.0278	-0.0433	-0.0147	0.0314	-0.0356	0.1036	0.0748	0.0968
			0.561	0.837	0.508	<b>0.023</b>	0.556	0.401	0.800	0.620	0.655	0.067	0.109	<b>0.016</b>
Chile	1994	12	-0.0628	0.0228	0.0206	0.0534	-0.1082	-0.1180	-0.0703	0.0493	-0.1547	0.1552	0.1312	0.0484
			0.433	0.797	0.858	0.349	0.155	0.179	0.419	0.520	0.261	0.106	0.166	0.616
Columbia	1993	13	0.1586	0.0831	0.0769	0.5449	-0.0736	0.1623	0.0470	0.2487	0.1578	0.5146	0.4607	0.1266
			0.251	0.589	0.658	<b>0.001</b>	0.622	0.308	0.828	0.297	0.418	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.003</b>	0.332
Czech Republic	1994	12	0.2247	0.1562	0.3271	0.3546	0.2468	0.1059	0.0652	-0.0378	-0.0169	0.2202	0.1999	-0.2646
			0.086	0.296	0.064	<b>0.023</b>	<b>0.020</b>	0.534	0.576	0.800	0.960	0.226	0.061	<b>0.022</b>
Denmark	1974	32	0.0857	0.0538	-0.0202	0.2584	0.0890	0.1178	0.0000	-0.0241	0.0390	-0.0185	0.1255	0.0524
			0.252	0.717	0.805	<b>0.000</b>	0.147	0.055	0.999	0.759	0.704	0.811	0.081	0.476
Finland	1989	17	0.2616	0.0616	0.1286	0.2008	0.0714	0.3032	0.0051	-0.1016	0.5301	0.2613	0.2153	-0.0653
			0.054	0.671	0.539	0.166	0.635	<b>0.039</b>	0.968	0.501	<b>0.001</b>	0.060	0.105	0.703
France	1974	30	-0.0649	0.0573	0.0610	0.0806	0.0773	0.1494	-0.0046	-0.0224	0.0356	-0.0110	0.0464	-0.0387
			0.406	0.465	0.342	0.230	0.289	<b>0.022</b>	0.941	0.740	0.612	0.891	0.499	0.605
Germany	1974	32	0.0722	0.1040	-0.1146	0.1389	0.0994	0.1432	-0.0899	-0.0081	0.1249	-0.0255	0.1094	0.0890
			0.236	0.090	0.137	<b>0.030</b>	0.120	<b>0.003</b>	0.090	0.893	<b>0.011</b>	0.647	<b>0.036</b>	0.104
Greece	1986	15	0.0319	0.0171	0.4264	0.3629	0.3366	-0.4063	0.3734	0.0933	0.0582	0.3240	-0.1179	-0.1086
			0.832	0.911	<b>0.022</b>	<b>0.024</b>	0.058	<b>0.030</b>	0.094	0.734	0.867	0.304	0.640	0.550
Hong Kong	1986	20	0.0861	0.1717	0.1086	0.0136	0.0123	-0.2519	-0.0960	0.0594	-0.0262	0.3500	-0.0844	-0.3478
			0.423	0.069	0.271	0.875	0.870	<b>0.021</b>	0.410	0.597	0.851	<b>0.000</b>	0.239	<b>0.001</b>
Hungary	1992	14	-0.0528	0.2965	-0.0321	0.3946	0.5019	0.2554	0.0035	0.2717	0.0117	0.0905	0.0704	0.1604
			0.647	0.114	0.862	<b>0.009</b>	<b>0.000</b>	0.101	0.978	0.082	0.946	0.388	0.521	0.192
Indonesia	1991	15	0.1049	0.3479	-0.1516	0.1027	0.1936	-0.0613	0.2259	0.1199	-0.1771	0.1854	0.1563	-0.1824
			0.466	<b>0.040</b>	0.658	0.498	0.143	0.644	<b>0.026</b>	0.184	0.422	0.216	0.199	0.124
Ireland	1979	27	-0.1121	0.1318	0.0542	0.1016	0.1119	0.1192	0.1467	-0.0576	0.0322	0.1187	-0.0932	-0.0587
			0.167	0.121	0.513	0.194	0.218	0.059	<b>0.015</b>	0.393	0.629	0.101	0.18	0.423
Italy	1974	32	0.1638	0.1532	-0.1678	0.1982	0.1010	0.2469	0.1467	0.0666	0.3007	-0.0955	-0.0473	-0.0143
			<b>0.028</b>	0.054	0.059	0.112	0.480	0.065	0.102	0.456	<b>0.003</b>	0.303	0.576	0.862
Japan	1974	32	0.0197	0.0483	0.0241	-0.0008	-0.0149	0.0806	-0.0226	0.1053	-0.0129	-0.0771	0.0850	-0.0138
			0.734	0.460	0.659	0.989	0.836	0.141	0.724	<b>0.042</b>	0.835	0.228	0.147	0.810
Korea	1977	26	0.1532	-0.0337	-0.0387	-0.0992	-0.0552	-0.1271	0.0340	-0.0262	-0.0810	0.3020	0.0949	0.1759
			0.136	0.730	0.710	0.410	0.657	0.392	0.847	0.912	0.664	<b>0.021</b>	0.413	0.128
Malaysia	1987	19	-0.0792	0.0195	-0.3393	0.0733	-0.0087	-0.0141	0.1610	0.0399	0.0822	-0.0642	0.1341	0.0002
			0.353	0.835	<b>0.006</b>	0.534	0.925	0.892	0.104	0.662	0.540	0.726	0.125	0.999
Mexico	1978	24	0.1952	-0.2929	-0.1192	-0.3308	0.5891	0.8771	0.5892	0.5354	0.8327	0.9707	0.2356	-0.6004

			0.311	0.197	0.676	0.399	0.266	0.215	0.368	0.369	0.302	0.182	0.393	<b>0.005</b>
Netherlands	1979	27	0.0824	0.0805	-0.0184	0.1868	0.0032	-0.0047	-0.0184	0.0271	0.1395	-0.0500	-0.0216	0.1355
			0.104	0.157	0.764	<b>0.002</b>	0.954	0.928	0.748	0.677	<b>0.020</b>	0.426	0.696	<b>0.006</b>
New Zealand	1989	17	0.0659	0.0671	0.0970	0.1149	-0.0420	0.0218	-0.0918	-0.0568	-0.0933	0.1054	0.0533	-0.0028
			0.320	0.325	0.078	<b>0.015</b>	0.601	0.594	<b>0.045</b>	0.380	0.422	0.099	0.392	0.964
Nigeria	1992	11	0.2757	0.1912	-0.0074	0.0411	0.5513	0.7061	0.1126	-0.0246	0.1319	0.3934	0.1080	0.3279
			0.091	0.255	0.970	0.797	<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.000</b>	0.278	0.813	0.493	<b>0.032</b>	0.508	0.083
Norway	1981	25	0.2376	0.1520	0.0331	0.1563	-0.0032	0.1867	-0.1075	0.1086	-0.0283	0.1034	0.0595	-0.0261
			<b>0.004</b>	0.119	0.727	<b>0.030</b>	0.967	<b>0.006</b>	0.174	0.207	0.809	0.311	0.470	0.748
Philippines	1985	18	0.3040	0.3224	-0.0102	-0.0681	-0.1880	-0.0162	0.1147	0.3781	0.4919	0.3201	-0.3125	0.1362
			0.119	0.100	0.953	0.589	0.282	0.939	0.584	0.241	0.073	0.213	0.134	0.440
Poland	1995	11	-0.0517	-0.1960	0.3159	0.5250	-0.0527	0.2537	-0.0823	-0.0822	0.0079	0.0576	-0.2446	-0.2349
			0.623	0.179	<b>0.014</b>	<b>0.002</b>	0.781	<b>0.005</b>	0.662	0.465	0.967	0.544	<b>0.023</b>	0.056
Singapore	1974	32	-0.0023	0.0497	0.0919	-0.0079	0.1014	-0.0010	0.0418	-0.0425	0.0109	0.1199	-0.0584	0.0191
			0.974	0.514	0.268	0.910	0.187	0.990	0.615	0.641	0.930	0.158	0.516	0.820
South Africa	1974	32	-0.1395	-0.0064	-0.0984	-0.1036	-0.0091	0.0078	0.0117	-0.0224	0.1600	0.0816	0.0727	0.0306
			0.052	0.929	0.176	0.180	0.897	0.907	0.869	0.737	<b>0.032</b>	0.189	0.201	0.551
Spain	1988	18	0.1203	0.0816	-0.0753	0.1798	0.1647	0.1256	-0.0181	-0.0845	-0.1303	0.1930	0.1676	0.1248
			0.084	0.263	0.458	<b>0.029</b>	<b>0.014</b>	<b>0.035</b>	0.756	0.313	0.357	<b>0.025</b>	<b>0.016</b>	0.068
Sri Lanka	1993	10	-0.2034	-0.1774	0.1648	-0.1463	0.4837	0.1644	-0.0817	-0.1288	-0.1909	0.6502	0.2562	0.2676
			0.137	0.353	0.504	0.342	0.123	0.499	0.664	0.746	0.445	<b>0.003</b>	0.165	0.068
Switzerland	1974	32	0.0726	0.0949	-0.0457	0.1771	0.0068	0.0568	-0.0062	-0.0072	0.0485	0.0282	0.0518	-0.0106
			0.207	0.121	0.424	<b>0.001</b>	0.914	0.161	0.901	0.890	0.434	0.550	0.257	0.829
Taiwan	1985	18	-0.2051	0.4607	0.1114	0.2510	-0.0446	-0.0607	-0.1029	0.1522	0.0020	0.1037	0.2699	-0.4757
			0.261	<b>0.006</b>	0.523	0.060	0.846	0.734	0.629	0.372	0.991	0.672	0.060	<b>0.011</b>
Thailand	1977	26	0.3136	0.0848	0.1582	0.2164	-0.0634	0.2370	-0.0234	0.2026	0.1568	0.0333	-0.0495	-0.1194
			<b>0.013</b>	0.459	0.136	<b>0.041</b>	0.603	0.051	0.894	0.088	0.324	0.788	0.654	0.381
United Kingdom	1974	32	0.1098	0.0734	-0.0653	0.1275	-0.0042	-0.0288	-0.0480	-0.0211	-0.1117	-0.0395	-0.0121	0.0310
			0.064	0.098	0.151	<b>0.008</b>	0.932	0.553	0.367	0.699	0.149	0.580	0.868	0.660
U.S. - CRSP - (value-weighted)	1940	64	0.1441	0.0270	-0.0387	0.0197	-0.0203	0.0594	0.0067	0.0151	0.0206	-0.0114	0.0072	-0.0214
			<b>0.000</b>	0.494	0.391	0.685	0.631	0.203	0.886	0.741	0.660	0.806	0.875	0.725
U.S. - CRSP - (value-weighted)	1973	31	0.1233	0.0164	-0.0319	0.1420	0.0054	-0.0001	-0.0558	0.0063	-0.0440	0.0914	-0.0279	-0.0411
			<b>0.048</b>	0.782	0.649	<b>0.022</b>	0.928	0.999	0.380	0.927	0.588	0.214	0.702	0.586
U.S. - CRSP - (equally-weighted)	1940	64	0.1937	-0.0245	-0.0597	0.0491	0.0027	0.0795	0.0294	0.0212	0.0601	-0.0418	0.0266	0.0037
			<b>0.001</b>	0.685	0.364	0.424	0.964	0.227	0.662	0.724	0.312	0.496	0.643	0.954
U.S. - CRSP - (equally-weighted)	1973	31	0.1404	-0.0717	-0.0784	0.1512	0.0423	-0.0136	-0.0306	-0.0096	0.0009	0.0504	0.1371	0.0116
			0.215	0.430	0.454	0.055	0.586	0.879	0.730	0.912	0.993	0.582	0.079	0.890
Venezuela	1995	11	-0.3640	0.0302	-0.1929	0.1421	0.1152	-0.1213	-0.3637	-0.2477	-0.3938	0.6010	0.6782	0.1482
			0.246	0.853	0.629	0.698	0.490	0.747	0.418	0.522	0.165	<b>0.025</b>	<b>0.004</b>	0.434
Zimbabwe	1979	24	0.4322	0.2517	0.3512	0.1723	0.2797	-0.0277	0.2307	0.1778	0.3042	0.1380	0.1434	0.4327
			<b>0.006</b>	0.149	<b>0.043</b>	0.326	0.066	0.879	0.199	0.278	0.094	0.480	0.460	<b>0.009</b>

Table 3:

11-month holding period excess returns following tax-year starts with positive and negative excess returns. Total market returns were obtained from both the Datastream and Compustat Global databases, while proxies for the risk-free interest rate were obtained from the *IFS Online* database maintained by the International Monetary Fund. Where more than one total market return proxy was available, the database with the longest history was used, and sensitivity analysis was performed over the common years to ensure that results were not sensitive to the choice made. Interest rates from short-term government securities were selected where possible, and where these were not available, yields from other government securities or alternatively, from the short-term money market, were used. P-values are for the two-tailed t-test of the null hypothesis that the mean difference between the 11-month holding period excess returns following positive and negative excess returns in January is equal to zero. P-values less than 5% are denoted in bold.

Country	Start Year	Tax Year Start	Sample N	Average 11-month excess return following a positive tax year start	Sub-sample N	Average 11-month excess return following a negative tax year start	Sub-sample N	Difference	P-value
Australia	1974	July	32	0.0334	20	0.0816	12	-0.0482	0.389
Hong Kong	1986	April	19	0.1253	10	0.1117	9	0.0136	0.875
New Zealand	1989	April	17	0.0563	11	-0.0586	6	0.1149	<b>0.015</b>
Pakistan	1985	July	17	0.0958	10	0.0498	7	0.0459	0.752
South Africa	1974	March	32	0.0469	20	0.1454	12	-0.0984	0.176
Sri Lanka	1993	April	10	-0.1434	4	0.0029	6	-0.1463	0.342
United Kingdom	1974	April*	32	0.0756	23	-0.0408	9	0.1164	<b>0.007</b>

\*The United Kingdom results were estimated using a month start date of April 6<sup>th</sup> so as to coincide with the beginning of the tax year.

Table 4:

11-month holding period raw returns following Januarys with positive and negative returns. Total market returns for the US were obtained from the CRSP database. Total market returns for countries other than the US were obtained from both the Datastream and Compustat Global databases. Where more than one total market return proxy was available, the database with the longest history was used, and sensitivity analysis was performed over the common years to ensure that results were not sensitive to the choice made. P-values are for the two-tailed t-test of the null hypothesis that the mean difference between the 11-month holding period returns following positive and negative Januarys is equal to zero. P-values less than 5% are denoted in bold

Country	Start Year	Sample N	Average 11-month return following a positive January	Sub-sample N	Average 11-month return following a negative January	Sub-sample N	Difference	P-value
Australia	1974	32	0.1361	21	0.1373	11	-0.0012	0.984
Austria	1974	32	0.2698	15	0.0205	17	0.2493	0.102
Belgium	1974	32	0.1300	20	0.0769	12	0.0531	0.370
Brazil	1976	27	5.6753	17	1.7138	10	3.9615	0.140
Canada	1974	32	0.0902	18	0.1315	14	-0.0412	0.409
Chile	1976	27	0.7854	18	0.0617	9	0.7238	<b>0.003</b>
China	1994	12	0.7587	2	0.0459	10	0.7128	0.094
Columbia	1985	18	0.5650	13	0.1380	5	0.4266	0.085
Czech Republic	1994	12	0.1412	10	-0.0864	2	0.2276	0.067
Denmark	1974	32	0.1258	25	0.1639	7	-0.0381	0.635
Finland	1989	17	0.2852	12	-0.0922	5	0.3774	<b>0.029</b>
France	1974	32	0.1267	23	0.1837	9	-0.0570	0.500
Germany	1974	32	0.1016	23	0.1123	9	-0.0106	0.869
Greece	1976	25	0.2225	14	0.1650	11	0.0575	0.643
Hong Kong	1974	32	0.2084	21	0.1379	11	0.0705	0.509
Hungary	1992	14	0.1671	10	0.2563	4	-0.0892	0.473
India	1976	27	0.1367	15	0.2563	12	-0.1196	0.261
Indonesia	1991	15	0.1091	11	0.0771	4	0.0320	0.822
Ireland	1974	32	0.1597	24	0.1838	8	-0.0241	0.809
Italy	1974	32	0.1464	24	-0.0100	8	0.1564	<b>0.047</b>
Japan	1974	32	0.0904	19	0.0615	13	0.0289	0.648
Jordan	1979	24	0.1067	12	0.1411	12	-0.0344	0.623
Korea	1976	27	0.1435	18	0.0626	9	0.0809	0.435
Luxemburg	1993	13	0.1266	10	0.2869	3	-0.1603	0.258
Malaysia	1987	19	0.1101	16	0.0101	3	0.1000	0.194
Mexico	1976	27	0.4523	18	0.5344	9	-0.0820	0.703
Netherlands	1974	32	0.1394	23	0.0713	9	0.0681	0.198
New Zealand	1989	17	0.1248	11	0.0824	6	0.0424	0.534
Nigeria	1985	18	0.5147	14	0.1562	4	0.3585	<b>0.015</b>
Norway	1981	25	0.2186	17	0.0011	8	0.2175	<b>0.016</b>
Pakistan	1985	18	0.3381	13	-0.0271	5	0.3652	0.057
Peru	1995	11	0.1494	8	0.1335	3	0.0159	0.870
Philippines	1988	18	0.2599	14	-0.1058	4	0.3657	<b>0.020</b>
Poland	1995	11	0.0729	7	0.1688	4	-0.0959	0.365
Singapore	1974	32	0.0821	24	0.0561	8	0.0260	0.728
South Africa	1974	32	0.1552	20	0.3397	12	-0.1845	<b>0.034</b>
Spain	1988	18	0.1539	13	0.0207	5	0.1332	0.066
Sri Lanka	1993	10	-0.0235	3	0.2658	7	-0.2893	0.051
Switzerland	1974	32	0.1325	22	0.0384	10	0.0941	0.106
Taiwan	1985	18	0.0457	11	0.2746	7	-0.2289	0.177
Thailand	1976	27	0.2737	15	-0.0373	12	0.3110	<b>0.023</b>
United Kingdom	1974	32	0.1513	20	0.0432	12	0.1081	<b>0.014</b>
U.S. - CRSP - (value-weighted)	1940	64	0.1480	42	0.0293	22	0.1186	<b>0.001</b>
U.S. - CRSP - (value-weighted)	1973	31	0.1303	20	0.0427	11	0.0877	0.158
U.S. - CRSP - (equally-weighted)	1940	64	0.1416	52	-0.0395	12	0.1811	<b>0.005</b>
U.S. - CRSP - (equally-weighted)	1973	31	0.1094	27	0.0088	4	0.1006	0.542
Venezuela	1985	18	0.4205	10	1.2361	8	-0.8156	0.155
Zimbabwe	1976	27	0.6026	18	-0.0131	9	0.6158	<b>0.001</b>

Table 5:

11-month holding period raw returns following months with positive and negative returns. Total market returns for the US were obtained from the CRSP database. Total market returns for countries other than the US were obtained from both the Datastream and Compustat Global databases. Where more than one total market return proxy was available, the database with the longest history was used, and sensitivity analysis was performed over the common years to ensure that results were not sensitive to the choice made. P-values reported in the second row for each country are for the two-tailed t-test of the null hypothesis that the mean difference between the 11-month holding period returns following positive and negative conditioning months is equal to zero. P-values less than 5% are denoted in bold

Country	Start Year	Sample N	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
Australia	1974	32	-0.0012	0.0763	0.0596	0.1220	-0.0884	-0.0789	-0.0553	0.0640	0.1132	-0.0192	-0.0186	-0.0036
			0.984	0.288	0.400	<b>0.021</b>	0.098	0.172	0.413	0.395	0.120	0.802	0.797	0.956
Austria	1974	32	0.2493	0.1899	0.2158	0.0028	0.2432	0.2859	0.2809	0.1434	0.2200	0.2382	0.1275	0.0226
			0.102	<b>0.047</b>	<b>0.039</b>	0.973	0.069	<b>0.036</b>	0.054	0.251	0.144	0.078	0.215	0.832
Belgium	1974	32	0.0531	0.0834	-0.0415	-0.0550	0.0665	-0.0338	0.0700	0.1269	0.1390	-0.0534	0.0498	-0.0080
			0.370	0.141	0.484	0.331	0.270	0.583	0.224	<b>0.043</b>	<b>0.025</b>	0.428	0.401	0.895
Brazil	1976	27	3.9615	2.2272	0.5318	3.3637	1.6809	-1.8005	3.6120	2.1322	3.0105	2.3530	5.1819	5.0391
			0.140	0.107	0.803	<b>0.003</b>	0.262	0.370	<b>0.018</b>	0.222	0.056	0.281	<b>0.031</b>	0.060
Canada	1974	32	-0.0412	-0.0418	-0.0332	0.1049	-0.0752	-0.0657	0.0092	0.0325	-0.0024	0.0814	0.0848	0.0732
			0.409	0.418	0.560	<b>0.035</b>	0.191	0.271	0.886	0.614	0.973	0.177	0.054	<b>0.049</b>
Chile	1976	27	0.7238	0.5117	0.2870	0.1212	0.0903	0.1207	0.7070	0.3906	0.1349	0.2594	0.0954	0.4172
			<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.014</b>	0.357	0.605	0.731	0.668	<b>0.017</b>	0.208	0.544	0.319	0.671	<b>0.009</b>
China	1994	12	0.7128	-0.3930	-0.1446	0.3254	0.0746	0.4131	-0.3319	-0.3134	-0.0464	0.0544	0.1403	-0.0264
			0.094	<b>0.031</b>	0.334	0.118	0.607	0.051	0.086	0.115	0.796	0.535	0.364	0.885
Columbia	1985	18	0.4266	0.5402	-0.1941	-0.1503	0.4322	0.2413	0.5927	0.7548	0.2168	0.4816	0.5290	-0.7017
			0.085	0.170	0.446	0.566	0.105	0.479	0.059	0.108	0.593	0.089	<b>0.014</b>	<b>0.019</b>
Czech Rep.	1994	12	0.2276	0.1252	0.3327	0.2188	0.2160	0.1186	-0.0218	0.0056	0.0552	0.1803	0.2043	-0.2699
			0.067	0.398	0.068	0.204	<b>0.037</b>	0.517	0.840	0.970	0.874	0.315	0.075	<b>0.036</b>
Denmark	1974	32	-0.0381	0.0295	-0.0060	0.2292	0.0843	0.1033	0.1257	-0.0595	0.0494	-0.1360	0.1346	0.0483
			0.635	0.729	0.943	<b>0.002</b>	0.235	0.140	<b>0.050</b>	0.474	0.642	0.124	0.104	0.563
Finland	1989	17	0.3774	0.0360	0.2326	0.3695	0.0736	0.3376	-0.1545	-0.2063	0.5376	0.3011	0.2101	-0.0412
			<b>0.029</b>	0.845	0.356	<b>0.022</b>	0.679	0.072	0.289	0.270	<b>0.019</b>	0.052	0.177	0.840
France	1974	32	-0.0570	0.0719	0.0522	0.0800	0.0444	0.0250	-0.0200	-0.0139	0.0371	0.0090	0.0962	-0.1028
			0.500	0.361	0.463	0.250	0.569	0.735	0.775	0.858	0.629	0.902	0.173	0.206
Germany	1974	32	-0.0106	0.0990	-0.1013	0.1504	0.1373	0.1424	-0.1137	0.0524	0.1234	-0.0321	0.0936	0.1038
			0.869	0.119	0.161	<b>0.021</b>	<b>0.042</b>	<b>0.005</b>	0.056	0.411	<b>0.021</b>	0.574	0.080	0.061
Greece	1976	25	0.0575	0.0368	0.3307	-0.0047	0.3251	-0.2890	0.2897	0.0510	0.0593	0.4946	-0.0547	0.1224
			0.643	0.786	0.061	0.973	0.070	0.128	0.267	0.826	0.772	0.180	0.753	0.387
Hong Kong	1974	32	0.0705	0.1513	0.1924	0.1554	-0.1244	-0.2195	-0.1486	0.0871	0.1308	0.1075	-0.0063	-0.1117
			0.509	0.182	0.084	0.054	0.175	<b>0.034</b>	0.212	0.463	0.292	0.233	0.953	0.330
Hungary	1992	14	-0.0892	0.3215	0.0184	0.2578	0.6219	0.3209	-0.0094	0.2570	0.3845	0.2355	0.2604	0.1854
			0.473	0.177	0.938	0.184	<b>0.001</b>	0.103	0.958	0.143	0.106	0.200	0.141	0.143
India	1976	27	-0.1196	-0.1743	0.0056	0.1441	0.2446	-0.1019	0.1562	-0.0706	0.1327	0.2243	-0.1845	0.0683
			0.261	0.135	0.971	0.404	0.088	0.348	0.109	0.502	0.191	0.063	0.080	0.471
Indonesia	1991	15	0.0320	0.2742	0.0221	0.0259	0.1094	0.0071	0.2378	0.0694	-0.1337	0.1457	0.1605	-0.1832
			0.822	0.067	0.907	0.866	0.363	0.953	<b>0.022</b>	0.497	0.398	0.333	0.166	0.131
Ireland	1974	32	-0.0241	0.1819	0.0858	0.1690	0.1603	0.0594	0.1186	-0.0669	-0.0874	-0.0535	-0.0628	0.0835
			0.809	0.058	0.331	<b>0.037</b>	0.087	0.426	0.092	0.414	0.309	0.602	0.565	0.471
Italy	1974	32	0.1564	0.1846	-0.2822	0.2622	0.1915	0.2706	0.1493	0.0781	0.3439	-0.1522	0.0180	-0.0561
			<b>0.047</b>	0.069	<b>0.036</b>	0.145	0.316	0.166	0.179	0.458	<b>0.009</b>	0.140	0.869	0.591
Japan	1974	32	0.0289	0.0764	0.0327	-0.0092	-0.0478	0.0928	-0.0346	0.1161	0.0248	-0.0904	0.0923	0.0041
			0.648	0.256	0.552	0.886	0.495	0.122	0.598	<b>0.034</b>	0.704	0.210	0.119	0.945
Jordan	1979	24	-0.0344	0.1096	0.1495	0.1872	0.1010	0.0434	0.0645	-0.0034	0.0616	-0.0711	-0.0042	-0.0361
			0.623	0.214	0.074	<b>0.008</b>	0.168	0.547	0.408	0.969	0.311	0.274	0.947	0.565
Korea	1976	27	0.0809	0.0179	0.0147	-0.1340	-0.1660	-0.0344	0.0495	0.1160	0.0889	0.3461	0.1250	0.2284
			0.435	0.873	0.886	0.280	0.227	0.832	0.818	0.689	0.673	<b>0.034</b>	0.289	0.070



Luxemburg	1993	13	-0.1603	0.1516	0.0877	0.1847	0.0977	0.0548	-0.0290	-0.0459	0.3120	0.0481	-0.0416	-0.2768
			0.258	0.289	0.607	0.175	0.403	0.660	0.841	0.696	<b>0.004</b>	0.659	0.700	<b>0.028</b>
Malaysia	1987	19	0.1000	-0.0465	-0.3061	0.0887	-0.0307	0.0158	0.1690	-0.0752	-0.0622	-0.0138	0.1556	0.0579
			0.194	0.683	<b>0.018</b>	0.504	0.773	0.892	0.142	0.514	0.701	0.942	0.110	0.613
Mexico	1976	27	-0.0820	0.3351	-0.3730	-0.3284	0.4231	0.8794	0.6857	0.7945	0.9216	0.8096	0.1782	-1.0321
			0.703	0.183	0.324	0.452	0.400	0.098	0.173	0.142	0.149	0.273	0.471	<b>0.004</b>
Netherlands	1974	32	0.0681	0.1224	-0.0271	0.1690	0.0367	0.0201	-0.0041	0.0477	0.1564	-0.0011	-0.0115	0.1060
			0.198	<b>0.037</b>	0.643	<b>0.004</b>	0.525	0.717	0.947	0.510	<b>0.016</b>	0.987	0.841	<b>0.028</b>
New Zealand	1989	17	0.0424	0.0746	0.0680	0.0584	-0.0081	0.0214	-0.1136	-0.0574	-0.1136	0.0782	-0.0891	-0.0308
			0.534	0.329	0.259	0.171	0.894	0.621	<b>0.025</b>	0.356	0.322	0.256	0.290	0.662
Nigeria	1985	18	0.3585	0.1250	0.2325	0.1434	0.4443	0.7114	0.3035	0.0165	0.4736	0.6189	0.2245	0.3386
			<b>0.015</b>	0.326	0.114	0.313	<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.025</b>	0.919	<b>0.009</b>	<b>0.000</b>	0.188	0.055
Norway	1981	25	0.2175	0.1572	0.1152	0.1686	-0.0748	0.1918	-0.1336	0.0359	0.0330	0.2152	0.0642	0.1137
			<b>0.016</b>	0.153	0.279	<b>0.035</b>	0.405	<b>0.021</b>	0.151	0.729	0.812	0.060	0.494	0.215
Pakistan	1985	18	0.3652	-0.4994	0.0147	0.2682	0.3322	-0.0123	0.0806	0.2098	-0.1569	0.2363	0.1431	-0.0256
			0.057	<b>0.014</b>	0.932	0.097	0.105	0.952	0.574	0.131	0.258	<b>0.039</b>	0.148	0.872
Peru	1995	11	0.0159	0.1530	0.0837	-0.0179	0.2417	0.0593	0.0892	0.1397	-0.1713	0.1560	0.0746	0.0284
			0.870	0.091	0.453	0.859	<b>0.004</b>	0.452	0.342	0.102	0.099	0.137	0.528	0.816
Philippines	1988	18	0.3657	0.1244	0.0098	0.2994	0.0414	-0.0468	0.1898	-0.1332	-0.0290	0.2079	-0.3223	-0.1425
			<b>0.020</b>	0.406	0.939	<b>0.005</b>	0.721	0.743	0.097	0.543	0.868	0.216	0.063	0.368
Poland	1995	11	-0.0959	-0.0082	0.3547	0.4287	-0.1661	0.2611	0.1133	-0.1309	0.1660	-0.0680	-0.0145	-0.2800
			0.365	0.956	0.061	<b>0.005</b>	0.316	<b>0.007</b>	0.510	0.237	0.362	0.549	0.908	<b>0.040</b>
Singapore	1974	32	0.0260	0.0951	0.0993	0.0432	0.0742	0.0417	0.2313	-0.0750	0.0193	0.0806	-0.0501	0.0234
			0.728	0.291	0.276	0.611	0.408	0.673	<b>0.012</b>	0.487	0.879	0.302	0.619	0.793
South Africa	1974	32	-0.1845	-0.0198	-0.0674	-0.1102	-0.0511	-0.0515	0.0405	-0.0106	0.1957	0.0715	0.0171	0.0747
			<b>0.034</b>	0.824	0.440	0.241	0.574	0.586	0.630	0.900	<b>0.034</b>	0.352	0.809	0.239
Spain	1988	18	0.1332	0.1743	-0.0665	0.2047	0.1890	0.1785	-0.0860	-0.1273	-0.1543	0.1837	0.1244	0.1111
			0.066	<b>0.029</b>	0.575	<b>0.024</b>	<b>0.007</b>	<b>0.004</b>	0.226	0.181	0.190	<b>0.048</b>	0.103	0.123
Sri Lanka	1993	10	-0.2893	0.3225	0.1836	-0.3270	0.3974	0.2443	-0.3637	0.1386	-0.2033	0.5663	0.2674	0.1523
			0.051	0.143	0.539	0.076	0.191	0.349	0.075	0.676	0.371	<b>0.012</b>	0.105	0.181
Switzerland	1974	32	0.0941	0.0843	0.0209	0.1997	-0.0015	0.0929	-0.0085	0.0171	0.0136	0.0215	0.1052	-0.0058
			0.106	0.200	0.724	<b>0.000</b>	0.982	<b>0.042</b>	0.878	0.776	0.850	0.689	<b>0.038</b>	0.917
Taiwan	1985	18	-0.2289	0.4538	0.0865	0.2403	-0.0072	-0.0106	-0.1604	-0.0447	0.0394	0.1888	0.1206	-0.5467
			0.177	<b>0.004</b>	0.619	0.052	0.972	0.957	0.425	0.804	0.847	0.572	0.426	<b>0.015</b>
Thailand	1976	27	0.3110	0.0688	0.1216	0.2967	-0.1245	0.2188	0.0855	0.1920	0.1683	0.1316	-0.1376	0.0125
			<b>0.023</b>	0.607	0.275	<b>0.003</b>	0.312	0.072	0.555	0.163	0.231	0.379	0.310	0.928
United Kingdom	1974	32	0.1081	0.0749	-0.0347	0.1909	0.0397	0.0102	-0.0530	-0.0368	-0.0313	0.1334	-0.0043	-0.0734
			<b>0.014</b>	0.090	0.519	<b>0.000</b>	0.392	0.835	0.332	0.515	0.641	<b>0.008</b>	0.926	0.152
U.S. - CRSP - (value-weighted)	1940	64	0.1186	0.0104	-0.0428	0.0234	-0.0232	0.0450	-0.0055	-0.0029	0.0287	-0.0035	-0.0062	-0.0347
			<b>0.001</b>	0.790	0.339	0.636	0.589	0.267	0.891	0.948	0.511	0.938	0.891	0.526
U.S. - CRSP - (value-weighted)	1973	31	0.0877	-0.0152	-0.0373	0.1590	0.0132	-0.0013	-0.0536	-0.0217	-0.0300	0.0780	-0.0240	-0.0605
			0.158	0.808	0.606	<b>0.016</b>	0.841	0.984	0.389	0.748	0.678	0.290	0.743	0.371
U.S. - CRSP - (equally-weighted)	1940	64	0.1811	-0.0476	-0.0614	0.0062	-0.0034	0.0823	0.0290	0.0129	0.0330	-0.0778	0.0491	0.0064
			<b>0.005</b>	0.448	0.362	0.920	0.955	0.223	0.680	0.830	0.579	0.203	0.399	0.923
U.S. - CRSP - (equally-weighted)	1973	31	0.1006	-0.1200	-0.0786	0.1526	0.0367	-0.0075	-0.0353	-0.0101	-0.0752	-0.0262	0.1373	0.0159
			0.542	0.220	0.455	0.053	0.654	0.941	0.719	0.908	0.415	0.780	0.079	0.856
Venezuela	1985	18	-0.8156	1.1700	0.7599	0.2781	0.0415	0.1368	0.0049	0.2477	-0.1308	0.9757	0.1415	-1.9016
			0.155	0.130	0.201	0.493	0.911	0.756	0.990	0.423	0.651	<b>0.024</b>	0.725	<b>0.008</b>
Zimbabwe	1976	27	0.6158	0.5437	0.8003	-0.0470	0.4024	-0.1461	0.4027	0.1409	0.0265	0.3984	0.4699	0.6331
			<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.032</b>	<b>0.003</b>	0.859	0.068	0.618	0.081	0.529	0.912	0.088	0.064	<b>0.002</b>